

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

v.

12 SEE WOOK CHUNG,

13 Defendant.

CASE NO. CR23-0144-JCC

ORDER

14
15 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion for early termination of
16 supervised release (Dkt. No. 5). Finding good cause, the Court hereby GRANTS the motion for
17 the reasons explained herein.

18 Defendant pleaded guilty to Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud. (*See* Dkt. No. 2-1.) The
19 Court sentenced him to 18 months of imprisonment, followed by three years of supervised
20 release with special conditions including restitution. (*See* Dkt. No. 2-2.) After completing his
21 prison sentence on August 8, 2023, Defendant began his term of supervised release which is set
22 to expire August 7, 2026. (*See* Dkt. No. 7.) Defendant now moves for early termination of
23 supervised release, citing his compliance with the conditions of supervision, stable residence and
24 family life, consistent employment, and elder care responsibilities. (*See generally* Dkt. No. 5.)
25 The United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office supports early termination and indicates
26 that the Government does not oppose early termination. (Dkt. No. 7 at 1.)

1 The Court may terminate a term of supervised release after one year of supervision “if it
2 is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest
3 of justice.” 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1). In deciding whether early termination is appropriate, the
4 Court must consider several factors, including the nature and circumstances of the offense, the
5 history and characteristics of the defendant, the need to deter criminal conduct, the need to
6 protect the public from further crimes, and the need to avoid sentence disparity among similarly
7 situated defendants. 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) (citing to factors listed by 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)); *United*
8 *States v. Emmett*, 749 F.3d 817, 820 (9th Cir. 2014). Given Defendant’s performance on
9 supervision, along with other considerations as outlined in his motion, the Court finds that early
10 termination of supervised release would be in the interest of justice in this instance.

11 Accordingly, Defendant’s motion for early termination of supervised release (Dkt. No. 5)
12 is GRANTED.

13 DATED this 12th day of September 2024.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



John C. Coughenour
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE